Recent developments in mean-field theories

Michael Bender

Université Bordeaux 1; CNRS/IN2P3; Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Bordeaux Gradignan, UMR5797, Chemin du Solarium, BP120, 33175 Gradignan, France

At present, methods based on self-consistent mean-field approaches are the only nuclear structure models that can be applied to all nuclei throughout the chart of nuclei except for the very lightest ones using a universal effective interaction, usually provided by an energy density functional [1]. This presentation will motivate why and when it is advantageous, even necessary, to go beyond the self-consistent mean field, and how to achieve this in a systematic manner by adding dynamical long-range correlations to existing mean-field methods through the restoration of symmetries and configuration mixing in the framework of the Generator Coordinate Method (see [2] and references therein for a summary of ideas), which has the promise of leading to a numerically tractable universal and consistent framework to calculate ground states and excited states of any nucleus and the transitions between them. The current state-of-the-art will be illustrated by results for nuclei exhibiting shape coexistence [3-5] and the systematics of masses of even-even nuclei [6]. An outlook will sketch necessary developments currently underway to turn this promising method into a tool with high predictive power for all nuclei as (i) the construction and parameterization of effective energy density functionals with significantly improved singleparticle spectra as compared to the currently available ones (see Refs. [5,7]), (ii) the use of a richer variational space [8] than what was used in the past and (iii) the set-up of a consistent framework for configuration mixing when using an effective energy density functional that does not correspond to a many-body Hamiltonian, as the prescriptions commonly used are prone to unexpected inconsistencies that were overlooked so far [9,10].

- [1] M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, and P.-G. Reinhard, Rev. Mod. Phys 75, 121 (2003).
- [2] M. Bender and P.-H. Heenen, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, s01, 519 (2005).
- [3] T. Duguet, M. Bender, P. Bonche, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Lett. B559, 201 (2003).
- [4] M. Bender, P. Bonche, T. Duguet, P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. C 69, 064303 (2004).
- [5] M. Bender, P. Bonche, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. C 74, 024312 (2006).
- [6] M. Bender, G. F. Bertsch, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034322 (2006).
- [7] T. Lesinski et al., Phys. Rev. C 76, 014312 (2007).
- [8] M. Bender and P.-H. Heenen, in preparation.
- [9] J. Dobaczewski, M. V. Stoitsov, W. Nazarewicz, P.-G. Reinhard, arXiv 0708.0441
- [10] D. Lacroix, T. Duguet, and M. Bender, in preparation.