

Isospin symmetry of isobaric triplets along the $N \sim Z$ line*

J. N. Orce¹, C. J. McKay¹, S. R. Leshner¹, P. Petkov^{2,3}, V. Velázquez⁴, S. W. Yates^{1,5},
and M. T. McEllistrem¹,

¹ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0055
USA

² Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia 11784, Bulgaria

³Institut für Kernphysik der Universität zu Köln, 50937 Köln, Germany

⁴ Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 México, D.F., México

⁵ Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0055 USA

A careful measurement of the lifetime of the first $2_{T=1}^+$ state in ^{42}Sc has allowed an experimental test of isospin purity in the $A=42$ isobaric triplet by using the isospin formalism [1]. In the case of testing charge independence of $T = 1$ nuclear structures, the experimental test involves the comparison of $E2$ strengths of $2_{1(T=1)}^+ \rightarrow 0_{1(T=1)}^+$ transitions in isobaric triplets [2]. A lifetime of 69 (18) fs has been determined for the $2_{1(T=1)}^+$ state in ^{42}Sc , giving an isoscalar matrix element of 6.9(9) (W.u.)^{1/2} [3]. Previous measurements of the lifetimes in the mirror nuclei ^{42}Ca and ^{42}Ti provided an isoscalar matrix element of 7.1 (5) (W.u.)^{1/2}, which is very close to the measured value for ^{42}Sc . This agreement suggests that charge independence is conserved in the $A = 42$ isobaric triplet.

Globally, the systematics of isoscalar matrix elements, M_0 , present a well-defined trend for isobaric triplets ranging from $A = 18$ to $A = 42$. Shell model calculations have been carried out using the m-scheme numerical code ANTOINE [4] in order to understand this particular trend. The $2_{1(T=1)}^+ \rightarrow 0_{1(T=1)}^+$ transition energies, reduced transition probabilities and isoscalar matrix elements are reproduced to a high degree of accuracy [5]. The equality of M_0 values between mirror pairs and $T_Z = 0$ nuclides supports our shell model calculations. The predicted results reproduce the isoscalar trend from $A=18$ to $A=42$; the variation of M_0 along the sd shell is explained in terms of the dynamic shell structure, tying together the results from recent experiments. Discrepancies may arise at the extremes of the sd shell for the $A = 18$ and $A = 38$ isobaric triplets, and might be explained in terms of the occupancy of the orbits at the extremes of the shell.

* This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0354656.

[1] A. M. Bernstein, V. R. Brown and V. A. Madsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 425 (1979).

[2] P. D. Cottle, Z. Hu, B. V. Pritychenko, J. A. Church, M. Fauerbach, T. Glasmacher, R. W. Ibbotson, K. W. Kemper, L. A. Riley, H. Scheit, M. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 172502 (2002).

[3] J. N. Orce, P. Petkov, C. J. McKay, S. N. Choudry, S. R. Leshner, M. Mynk, D. Bandyopadhyay, S. W. Yates, M. T. McEllistrem, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 014314 (2004).

[4] E. Caurier, computer code ANTOINE, CRN, Strasbourg, 1989-2004; E. Caurier and F. Nowacki, Acta Physica Polonica B **30**, 705 (1999).

[5] J. N. Orce and V. Velázquez, submitted to Phys. Rev. C (2005).