

Critical-Point Structure in Finite Nuclei *

A. Leviatan

Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel.

The study of shape changes in nuclei, as a prototype of quantum phase transitions in a finite system, is at present a frontier in nuclear structure research. Recently, it has been recognized that such shape-phase transitions are amenable to analytic descriptions at the critical points [1,2]. The importance of these analytic benchmarks of criticality lies in the fact that they provide a classification of states and analytic expressions for observables in regions where the structure changes most rapidly. For nuclei these benchmarks were obtained in the geometric framework of a Bohr Hamiltonian for macroscopic quadrupole shapes. In particular, the E(5) [1] (X(5) [2]) benchmark is applicable to a second- (first-) order shape-phase transition between spherical and deformed γ -unstable (axially-symmetric) nuclei. Empirical evidence of these benchmarks have been presented [3,4]. An important issue concerning phase transitions in real nuclei is the role of a finite number of nucleons. This aspect can be addressed in the algebraic framework of the interacting boson model (IBM) [5] which describes low-lying quadrupole collective states in nuclei in terms of a system of N monopole and quadrupole bosons representing valence nucleon pairs. The three dynamical symmetry limits of the model: U(5), SU(3), and O(6), describe the dynamics of stable nuclear shapes: spherical, axially-deformed, and γ -unstable deformed. Phase transitions for finite N are studied by an IBM Hamiltonian involving terms from different dynamical symmetry chains [6]. Many such studies (*e.g.* [7-10]) show that although in finite systems the discontinuities at the critical point are smoothed out, features of the phase transition persist even at moderate values of N . The finite- N critical Hamiltonian is determined by the structure of an intrinsic energy surface, $E(\beta, \gamma)$, obtained by the method of coherent (intrinsic) states [6,11]. Normally, the quadrupole shape parameters in the intrinsic state, characterizing the equilibrium shape of a given Hamiltonian, are chosen as the global minimum of $E(\beta, \gamma)$. This is a standard variational procedure for a Hamiltonian describing nuclei with rigid shapes, for which the global minimum is deep and well localized. However, as we show in the present contribution, near the critical point of a phase transition an alternative procedure is required. For an E(5)-like second-order phase transition, the relevant energy surface is γ -independent and flat-bottomed. The large fluctuations in β can be taken into account, in a finite system, by means of an effective β -deformation determined by minimizing the energy surface after projection onto the appropriate O(5) symmetry [8]. For a X(5)-like first-order phase transition the intrinsic energy surface has two degenerate minima separated by a low barrier. The effective deformation is determined by minimizing an $L = 0$ projected energy surface combined with two-level mixing [10]. In both cases wave functions of a particular analytic form are used to derive finite- N estimates for energies and quadrupole rates at the critical point.

* This work is supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

- [1] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3580 (2000).
- [2] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 052502 (2001).
- [3] R.F. Casten and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3584 (2000).
- [4] R.F. Casten and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 052503 (2001).
- [5] F. Iachello and A. Arima, *The Interacting Boson Model* (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- [6] A.E.L. Dieperink, O. Scholten and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1747 (1980).
- [7] R.F. Casten, D. Kusnezov and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5000 (1999).
- [8] A. Leviatan and J.N. Ginocchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 212501 (2003).
- [9] F. Iachello and N.V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 212501 (2004).
- [10] A. Leviatan, submitted for publication, (2005).
- [11] J.N. Ginocchio and M.W. Kirson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1744 (1980).